

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,281	02/26/2004	Pavan M. Kumar	81674-308127	5164
· 7590 04/10/2006		EXAMINER		
Roger R. Wise			DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
725 South Figueroa Street			2836	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/787,281	KUMAR ET AL.	PM	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Robert DeBeradinis	2836		
0	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addr	ess	
Period fo	• •				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commandoned (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 26 February 2004			
•	·	☐ This action is non-final.			
3)□	· ·		ers prosecution as to the m	parite ie	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice t	ander Ex parte Quayle, 1995 C.D	. 11, 400 O.G. 210.	•	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the appl	ication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	•		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.			
·	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 200</u>		bjected to by the Examiner	r .	
•	Applicant may not request that any objection		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			1.121(d).	
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	serongin pricinity annual de dicital 3	(.) (.) (.) .		
. ,.	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc		polication No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	•	age	
	application from the International	•		-9-	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action fo	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	received.		
Attachment	• •		(DTC 115)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infom	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	/SB/08) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)	
Papei	No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/26/04</u> .	6) Other:	_•		

Application/Control Number: 10/787,281

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 2/26/04 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 10/787,281 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,747,855. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other

Art Unit: 2836

because the power supply system being claimed regulates a supplied voltage in an obviously similar manner as the regulator in the US 6,747,855 patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

RLD

MARCH 29, 2006

IOBERT'L. DEBERADINIS PRIMARY EXAMINER